

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 EZRA ALEM,

Case No. C22-409RSM

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

11 v.

12 WILLIAM TER-VEEN, et al.,

13 Defendants.

14
15 *Pro se* Plaintiff Ezra Alem has been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this
16 matter. Dkt. #5. The Complaint was posted on the docket on April 4, 2022. Dkt. #6. The
17 Court immediately identified several deficiencies and directed Plaintiff to file an amended
18 complaint. Dkt. #10. Plaintiff filed his Amended Complaint the next day. Dkt. #11.
19 Summons have not yet been issued.

20
21 The Court has reviewed this Amended Complaint. Plaintiff continues to bring this case
22 against Snohomish Patrol Sergeant William Ter-veen, Snohomish County Prosecuting Attorney
23 Constance M. Crawley, and Special Agent in Charge Donald M. Voiret. *Id.* at 2. He alleges
24 the same violations of the Due Process Clause and False Claims Act, as well as police and
25 prosecutorial misconduct. *Id.* Plaintiff has not added new citations to law or causes of action.
26 What Plaintiff has done is expand on the background facts giving rise to his claims. The
27
28

1 incident involving these Defendants clearly occurred in 2013. *Id.* at 8. He alleges that Sgt.
2 Ter-Veen was dispatched to a Crisis Residential Center in Arlington. *Id.* He was then
3 “detained for the alleged crime of an assault in the 4th degree against a civilian.” *Id.* He pleads
4 that he was the real victim in the altercation, and that Sgt. Ter-Veen threatened him to get down
5 on the ground and that he was afraid he was going to get shot during the arrest. *Id.* He says
6 that he was confused during the prosecution, did not think people would believe him, and that
7 he “pledged guilty before receiving a trial or the opportunity to know what happened.” *Id.* at 9.
8 He was then placed on the “Violent Person File” by Prosecutor Constance M. Crawley. *Id.*
9 After this incident and prosecution, he has experienced numerous other interactions with law
10 enforcement, including the Seattle Police in 2019, at the Social Security Administration
11 building in 2019, and an unknown off duty police officer at Bartell Drugs in 2020. *Id.* at 9–10.
12 He believes that many of these incidents are due in part to him being placed on the “Violent
13 Person File” or some other kind of FBI watch list. He seeks 10 million dollars. *Id.* at 4.

16 The Court will dismiss a Complaint at any time if the action fails to state a claim, raises
17 frivolous or malicious claims, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from
18 such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

20 Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint appears to suffer from deficiencies that require
21 dismissal. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). It is devoid of sufficient citations to law to bring a
22 cause of action against these Defendants for these claims. Even if Plaintiff were to cite to
23 §1983 or another valid source of law, any such claim appears to be untimely given that eight
24 years have passed since the encounter with these Defendants. It is not clear from the
25 Complaint how *these* Defendants are legally responsible for the ongoing placement of Plaintiff
26
27
28

1 on a cautionary watchlist, or how placement on that list has proximately caused Plaintiff the
2 alleged injuries.

3 In Response to this Order, Plaintiff must write a short and plain statement telling the
4 Court (1) why his claims are timely, (2) how these Defendants are liable for his claims, and (3)
5 why this case should not be dismissed as frivolous. **This Response may not exceed six (6)**
6 **pages.** Plaintiff is not to file additional pages as attachments. The Court will take no further
7 action in this case until Plaintiff has submitted this Response.

8 Accordingly, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff shall file a Response to
9 this Order to Show Cause containing the detail above **no later than twenty-one (21) days**
10 **from the date of this Order.** Failure to file this Response will result in dismissal of this case.
11

12
13
14 DATED this 6th day of April, 2022.
15
16

17 
18 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
19 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28